

AD _____

Award Number: DAMD17-98-1-8519

TITLE: Do Capacitively Coupled Electric Fields Accelerate Tibial Stress Fracture Healing?

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Andrew Hoffman, M.D.
Belinda Beck, Ph.D.
Gordon Matheson, M.D., Ph.D.
Gabrielle Bergman, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305-5401

REPORT DATE: October 2003

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20040206 093

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGEForm Approved
OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE October 2003	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (15 Sep 02-14 Sep 03)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Do Capacitively Coupled Electric Fields Accelerate Tibial Stress Fracture Healing?			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-98-1-8519	
6. AUTHOR(S) Andrew Hoffman, M.D. Belinda Beck, Ph.D. Gordon Matheson, M.D., Ph.D. Gabrielle Bergman, M.D.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Stanford University Stanford, California 94305-5401 E-Mail: b.beck@griffith.edu.au			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words) A convenience sample based on availability of tibial stress fracture cases at local Sports Medicine Clinics will be selected over 2-3 years until forty subjects (20 male, 20 female) have been treated. The study is designed to be able to determine if electric field stimulation accelerates the healing of tibial stress fracture and whether there are gender effects. Only posteromedial mid to distal third and proximal medial tibial condylar stress fractures will be investigated. Four imaging approaches will be used at diagnosis (radiographs, bone scan, MRI and CT). All subjects will be identically treated in a double blind fashion using active or passive electric field stimulator devices that apply a sinusoidal wave of 3-6 V, 60 KHz, 5-10 mA, wearing the units for 15-20 hours per day, primary at night, and other standardized rehabilitation treatments, until healed and not longer then 6 months. Subjects will be considered healed when hopping on the affected leg is no longer painful. Only MRI will be used for follow-up studies. A grading system will be developed for each of the diagnostic methods and compared to the ability of the MRI grading system to predict time to recovery.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS No subject terms provided.				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 5
				16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

Table of Contents

Cover.....	1
SF 298.....	2
Introduction.....	4
Body.....	4
Key Research Accomplishments.....	4
Reportable Outcomes.....	5
Conclusions.....	5
References.....	5
Appendices.....	5

INTRODUCTION

This placebo-controlled study is designed to determine if electric field stimulation will accelerate the healing of tibial stress fractures. Additionally a stress fracture severity grading system is to be developed for four different diagnostic imaging techniques (plain films, nuclear medicine scans, MRI and CT). The purpose of the imaging study is to determine the most cost effective approach to tibial stress fracture diagnosis and the most effective technique to predict time to healing. Twenty male and twenty female subjects will be recruited in order to discriminate gender effects. All subjects are treated identically in a double blind fashion using active or inactive electric field stimulator devices that apply a sinusoidal wave of 3-6 V, 60 KHz, 5-10 mA. Subjects wear the units for 15-20 hrs/day until healed, with a maximum treatment time of 6 months. Subjects are considered healed when hopping on the affected limb is no longer painful.

BODY

The relevant 2002 and 2003 activities in the Statement of Work include:

1. Recruit and treat ~10 subjects 2002 and 10 subjects 2003
2. Prepare interim report

Ongoing Activities include:

1. Collect data, including: subjects consenting, evaluation, consultation and data collection (Food Frequency and Activity History Questionnaires), radiology appointment making, OrthoPak training, subject monitoring, bone density scans
2. Liase with referring clinicians

Problems in accomplishing timeline tasks

The move of the Primary Investigator (Beck) to Griffith University, Australia prompted a Protocol review by USA HSRRB. Recruitment was suspended between February 2002 and March 2003 while the USA HSRRB process ran its course. As the process was very prolonged, our recruitment schedule has understandably suffered. Since the 2002 report we have collected data on a further 13 subjects.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Data collection on 27 subjects in total has been completed (9 at Stanford University and 18 at Griffith University)

SUBJECT #	SEX	AGE	PRIMARY SPORT	TREATMENT TIME (days)	RECRUITING UNIVERSITY
1	Female	32	Running	18	Stanford University
2	Male	35	Running	19	Stanford University
3	Female	46	Running	23	Stanford University
4	Female	16	Running	25	Stanford University
5	Male	30	Running	14	Stanford University

6	Male	22	Running	14	Stanford University
7	Male	18	Running	21	Stanford University
8	Female	33	Running	18	Stanford University
9	Male	19	Running	6	Stanford University
10	Male	23	Running	23	Griffith University
11	Female	21	Aerobics	2	Griffith University
12	Female	18	Sprinting	25	Griffith University
13	Female	21	Sprinting	18	Griffith University
14	Female	34	Running	37	Griffith University
15	Female	18	Running	12	Griffith University
16	Female	22	Running	Released from study after failure to follow protocol.	Griffith University
17	Male	37	Running	7	Griffith University
18	Male	37	Running	6	Griffith University
19	Male	33	Triathlete	17	Griffith University
20	Male	25	Running	8	Griffith University
21	Male	25	Running	8	Griffith University
22	Female	34	Triathlete	17	Griffith University
23	Female	23	Step aerobics	19	Griffith University
24	Female	32	Running	17	Griffith University
25	Male	21	Boxing/running	15	Griffith University
26	Male	21	Boxing/running	16	Griffith University
27	Male	42	Running	9	Griffith University

- Subject data remains blinded from investigators until the end of the study
- Review of imaging and grade scale development will occur by three independent radiologists upon completion of full data set

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

- No reportable outcomes to date (devices are blinded)

CONCLUSIONS

- No reportable conclusions to date

REFERENCES

NA

APPENDICES

NA